Among individuals choosing jobs and constructing careers, the work world of the 21st century provokes feelings of anxiety and insecurity. Whereas the secure employment and stable organizations of the 20th century offered a firm basis for building a life and envisioning a future, the digital revolution of the 21st century has brought a new social arrangement of work in which temporary assignments and time-limited projects replace permanent jobs (Kalleberg, Reskin, & Hudson, 2000). The “dejobbing” wrought by the global economy has produced the “insecure worker,” including employees who are called temporary, contingent, casual, contract, freelance, part time, external, atypical, adjunct, consultant, and self-employed. The transformation of the labor force from core workers with permanent jobs to peripheral workers with temporary assignments has already affected nearly half of workers in the United States (Kalleberg, 2009).

During the 20th century when employees occupied a permanent job, workers could count on bureaucratic organizations to provide a grand narrative about how their lives would unfold. Today, individuals can no longer plan to work 30 years developing a career within the boundaries of one job or even one organization. Instead, during their lifetimes they may expect to occupy at least 10 jobs, more properly called assignments (Saratoga Institute, 2000). One in four workers in the United States has been with their current employer for less than a year (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2004). The new job market in an unsettled economy calls for viewing career not as a lifetime commitment to one employer but as a recurrent selling of services and skills to a series of employers who need projects completed. An examination of contemporary employment practices reveals that the social reorganization of work has produced a new psychological contract between organizations and their members (Rousseau, 1996). Today, workers must be employable, lifelong learners who commit themselves to an organization for a period of time and show professional character in performing emotional labor and adapting quickly to changes. The new contract of employability has prompted management and human resource scholars to reconceptualize careers as boundaryless (Arthur, 1994), protean (Hall, 1996), customized (Benko & Weisberg, 2007), kaleidoscopic (Sullivan & Mainiero, 2008), and as a portfolio (Handy, 1998).

Frequent job dislocation and career destabilization set workers adrift as they try to chart their futures and shape their identities. Entering today’s work world requires more effort, deeper self-knowledge, and greater confidence than ever before. Individuals who must cope with unstable occupations and frequent job transitions may request substantially more help from career counselors, and I think a different kind of help. The two major paradigms for career intervention in the 21st century were vocational guidance and career education. Vocational guidance rests on a psychology of fixed traits and types that can be objectified with tests and then matched to stable occupations that provide long tenure. Career education rests on a predictable trajectory of developmental tasks that can be eased by teaching individuals mature attitudes and competencies that prepare them to unfold careers within hierarchical organizations. Matching through vocational guidance and preparing through career education may not adequately address the life design needs of citizens in information societies.

**A New Approach to Career Intervention**

As the form of work changes from stability to mobility to reflect the labor needs of posttraditional societies, so too
must the form of career intervention change. The paradigms of guiding and preparing now must be supplemented with a new paradigm that fully addresses the life design needs of workers in information societies that have destandardized the life course (Duarte, 2009). The loss of stable structures and predictable trajectories decouples people from modernity’s grand narrative about the life course and expectations about its pattern and structure. The individualization of the life course (Beck, 2002) calls for a science of intervention that deals with constructing a self and designing a career. With the shift in responsibility for career from institutions to individuals, people must “get a life” (Habermas & Bluck, 2000) and bridge transitions in that life by using what has been referred to as biographicity (Alheit, 1995) and identity work (Sveningsson & Alvesson, 2003).

Autobiographical reasoning and identity work involve the reflexive activities of forming, maintaining, and revising identity narratives characterized by distinctiveness, coherence, and continuity. These narrative processes produce the identity capital of knowing and liking one’s life story. Individuals may then invest their identity capital and their stories to cope with the uncertainties prompted by occupational transitions and work traumas. As workers move from one assignment to the next assignment, they must let go of what they did yet not who they are. If they let go of everything, then the loss may overwhelm them. By holding onto the self in the form of a life story that provides coherence and continuity, they are able to pursue their purpose and projects with integrity and vitality.

A New Paradigm

Career practitioners and researchers now are formulating new constructs to help clients negotiate a lifetime of job changes without losing a sense of self and social identity (Guichard, 2005). To better assist clients in designing their lives for the 21st century, many career counselors now concentrate on identity rather than personality, adaptability rather than maturity, intentionality rather than decidedness, and stories rather than scores. The constructs of identity, adaptability, intentionality, and narratability contribute to the formulation of a new model for comprehending vocational behavior, namely, career construction theory (Savickas, 2005b). This psychological theory of vocational behavior follows from an epistemological position called life designing, which concentrates on contextual possibilities, dynamic processes, nonlinear progression, multiple perspectives, and personal patterns (Savickas et al., 2009). In relation to career, this constructionist perspective highlights flexibility, employability, commitment, emotional intelligence, and lifelong learning. This article presents for the first time a new paradigm for career intervention, one that complements the psychology of career construction (Savickas, 2005b) and the epistemology of life designing (Savickas et al., 2009). This conceptual model for career counseling is not just an addition to or extension of the old ideas. It represents a fundamental reordering of career counseling theory that envisions career intervention from a different perspective and elaborates it from new premises about self and identity.

Self

The modern idea of actualizing a self that already exists within a person served career counseling well during the second half of the 20th century. The idea of self-actualization arose from the belief that inherent within each person resides an indispensable essence that constitutes the immutable core self (Gubrium & Holstein, 1999). However, for careers in the 21st century, that belief may be replaced with the postmodern belief that an essential self does not exist a priori. Accordingly, clients do not select words to describe a preexisting, essential self. Rather, clients choose words to constitute a self and form self-conceptions. This view considers self to be an a posteriori story, not an a priori substance defined by a list of properties. As one speaks one’s story, so one makes oneself, and this self-constructing is a life project. For career construction theory, stories constitute a critical element because in addition to building a self, stories provide the efficient means through which an individual also builds a subjective career, that is, a story about her or his working life (Young, Valach, & Collin, 1996).

Self-actualization and self-constructing offer fundamentally different perspectives on and prospects for career intervention. Some counselors find the idea of self-constructing more useful than the idea of self-actualizing. Other counselors do not want to relinquish the familiar idea of self-actualization. So, during the period of transition in which we now live, counselors experience confusion and conflict between the epistemologies of positivism and constructionism. Nevertheless, I believe that eventually the prevailing paradigm for career counseling in the 21st century will be rooted in social constructionism and identity (Guichard, 2009) rather than logical positivism and personality (Holland, 1997).

Identity

Career construction theory clearly differentiates self from identity. Self is not identity nor is it absorbed into identity. In career construction theory, identity involves how people think of themselves in relation to social roles. Using a syllogism, one may think of identity forming as an individual’s thesis (self) encounters society’s antithesis (role) and crafts a synthesis (identity). Individuals begin to form psychosocial identities by associating the psychological self with social roles and cultural representations. In due course, individuals assemble and articulate a comprehensible identity narrative. Narratives about identity provide self-understanding in the form of an interpretation of self that orients one to a social world. Through narratives, individuals interpret the self as if it were another person. As William James (1890) famously explained, the “I” tells a story about “me.” Individuals enact
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Life Design: A Paradigm for Career Intervention

Life design represents a new paradigm for career intervention. Kuhn (1996) described a paradigm as a set of practices that define a scientific discipline at any particular period of time. A paradigm is a conceptual model that is widely accepted in a practice community and usually is the prevailing view of best practices. For career intervention, paradigm means the general pattern of practice, which includes many specific examples. Within a paradigm of practice, specific instantiations do not follow a rigid pattern; rather each realization of the general conceptual model shows a flexible and creative application of the abstract template.

The paradigm for vocational guidance is to (a) enhance self-knowledge, (b) increase occupational information, and (c) match self to occupation. Specific substantiations of this conceptual model include the person–environment fit approach advocated by Holland (1997) and Lofquist and Dawis (1991). The paradigm for career education is to (a) assess development status, (b) orient the individual to imminent developmental tasks, and (c) develop the attitudes and competencies needed to master those tasks. Specific examples of this conceptual model and its emphasis on learning can be found in instances known as career development and assessment counseling (Niles, 2001; Super, 1983), integrative life planning (Hansen, 1997), the social-cognitive framework for career choice and counseling (Brown & Lent, 1996), and the learning theory of career counseling (Krambottz, 1996).

From my perspective, an implicit paradigm for career intervention has emerged to meet the self-construction and career design needs of 21st-century clients. In the present article I seek to make that paradigm explicit by abstracting several specific instances of constructionist and narrative interventions into the language of a new paradigm. To do so, I first gathered the instantiations that I could identify. The examples that invoke the general conceptual model include career style assessment and counseling (Savickas, 1989), narrative career counseling (Cochran, 1997), constructivist career counseling (Peavy, 1997), career construction through goal-directed action (Young & Valach, 2004), My System of Career Influences (McMahon, Patton, & Watson, 2005), My Career Chapter (McIlveen, 2007), Discovery of Occupational Activities and Personal Plans (Guichard, 2008), metaphor making (Amundson, 2010), and the chaos theory of career counseling (Pryor & Bright, 2011). All of these specific instances of the life design paradigm share the same goal: to prompt meaningful activities that further self-making, identity shaping, and career constructing (Savickas, 2010). Each method uses autobiographical stories to lead clients through their ambiguity by creating scenarios that link future initiatives to past achievements. In due course, each client authors a biographical bridge that expresses his or her personal truths and authorizes an identity that transports the client into the future. The paradigm for life design structures interventions to (a) construct career through small stories, (b) deconstruct these stories and reconstruct them into an identity narrative or life portrait, and (c) coconstruct intentions that lead to the next action episode in the real world. I consider each element in turn.

Construction

When individuals are dislocated from their current stories, they begin narrative processing of their biographies (Heinz, 2002). Some individuals seek counseling to assist them in this identity work. With these clients, life design interventions begin by having them describe both the incident that dislocates them from the current episode in their story and their goals for a new scenario that they want to coconstruct with a counselor.

Then counselors ask clients to narrate micronarratives, or tell small stories, that demonstrate how they have constructed their self, identity, and career. Life design intervention focuses on narration because stories are construction tools for building identities and careers out of complex social interactions. Storytelling makes the self and crystallizes what clients think of themselves. The more stories they tell, the more clients develop their identities and careers.

Individuals compose stories to organize events in their lives into a sequence. Each educational and vocational position that they have occupied may be viewed as a short story in the novel of their career. In career construction theory, the sequence of positions in a résumé presents the story that one generally thinks of as an objective career.
Deconstruction

In some cases, client stories include dominating expectations or insidious ideas that suppress more life-enhancing alternatives. Counselors must always think carefully about how a client’s stories might be deconstructed to reveal self-limiting ideas, confining roles, and cultural barriers. In particular, they must be sensitive to axioms of meaning and ideological biases regarding gender, race, and social status. When stories require deconstruction, counselors may discuss with clients what a story assumes, overlooks, omits, forgets, or inadequately addresses. Deconstruction seeks to undo a story’s uncritical domination over the client’s thinking, not destroy the story. The goal is to access different meanings and new knowledge that open up possibilities and restart stalled initiatives. Having listened closely to how a client constructs her or his career story, and maybe deconstructing some of its ideas and incidents, the counselor reconstructs the small stories into a large story.

Reconstruction

Narrative processing of identity constructions gathers micronarratives about important incidents, recurrent episodes, significant figures, self-defining moments, and life-changing experiences. In working with the micronarratives, the counselor actively gathers the story threads and weaves them into one tapestry to craft a unified sense of individuality. Integration of small stories about the self in social situations constructs a large story or macronarrative, that is, an identity narrative. The macronarrative reconstructs experiences to make sense and to sediment values, attitudes, and habits into a grand story about the person’s life. The person becomes a character in a world that she or he has constructed. Thus, the identity narrative confers a life story on the self as a social being. It bestows personal meaning and social mattering on a life as it tells about pattern and progress.

The macronarrative of identity explains clients’ past, orients them to the present, and guides them into the future. To do this, the identity narrative highlights the occupational plot and career theme in the sequence of small stories. Plots structure the sequence of episodes into a coherent whole, raising some facts to prominence while ignoring others. The writer E. M. Forster (1927) explained that a story tells what happened while a plot tells why it happened. Forster exemplified this difference between story and plot as follows: “The King died, and then the Queen died” is a story because it has a sequence. In comparison, “The King died, and then the Queen died of grief” is a plot because it adds causality.

Having elicited several micronarratives or small stories from a client, the counselor must eventually emplot them (Ricoeur, 1984). Emplot means to place the micronarratives about what happened into the context of a macronarrative, or large story, about why it happened. Emplotting the sequence of episodes into a larger story adds explanatory links and causal coherence to the experiences that may otherwise still feel random. So in life design, reconstruction means to configure and integrate agent, goals, means, and interactions to form a unified and meaningful identity narrative or life portrait. Thus, emplotment reconstructs a subjective career from clients’ constructions of their work life. The explicit occupational plot tells about the client’s journey to reach certain goals and to elaborate the self in a social context. The explicit plot tells of the outer journey and why it happened. It is augmented by a career theme about what it all means. This inner journey traces an emotional odyssey shaped by a central conflict with its associated needs and longing. The implicit theme adds meaning and purpose to the plot of the macronarrative.

Although the theme may explain emotional transformations, it is more timeless and abstract than the plot. The career theme provides an unifying idea that, through reoccurrence, makes a life whole. The career theme represents the controlling idea served by the plot. The thematic pattern woven by this underlying idea provides the primary unit of meaning used to understand the facts of the occupational plot. As individuals incorporate new experiences, they use the implicit theme to digest the plot episodes by imposing the pattern of meaning on them. When individuals face challenges and disruptions, the recurrent pattern in the macronarrative theme directs, regulates, and sustains their actions.

In addition to the central idea that the life serves, the career theme also carries the character arc, that is, how the person changes over time. The arc portrays where the person started, is now, and wants to end up on some essential personal issue. The character arc begins with some flaw that propels and moves the individual. Usually, the flaw arises from something missing in life, something that individuals long for and need. To overcome this limitation or weakness, individuals seek to attain some goal that fulfills the need. They wrestle with and try to overcome the fear, limitation, block, or wound. In due course, they overcome the adversity and transcend their flaws as they become something more than they were. In this way, individuals use work to become more whole and complete.

Coconstruction

Having reconstructed an identity narrative from the client’s micronarratives, the counselor then presents to the client a draft of her or his life portrait, including the occupational plot, career theme, and character arc. A first goal in narrating the life portrait to clients is to have them consider the macronarrative reconstructed by the counselor. Reflecting on the life portrait typically leads to the client editing the identity narrative. This revision involves amendments that correct mistakes, adjustments that come to terms with old conflicts and settle accounts, and alterations that enhance self-esteem and support a more optimistic view of life.

However, revising the macronarrative involves more than just giving accurate voice to the client’s life story. Clients need to modify the portrait to make it more livable and then
extend it into the future. That is why they come to counseling. The processes of revisions and elaboration open possibilities for rearranging story elements. Client and counselor join together to candidly craft a move in meaning with which to confront choices. The coconstruction of the life portrait seeks to incorporate the current dislocation in a way that clarifies priorities, mobilizes central tendencies, and increases the possibility of transformation and development. This occurs as clients access different meanings and knowledge that open new possibilities and restart stalled initiatives. With new language, fresh perspectives, and expanded vistas, clients may reorganize their meaning system and clarify what is at stake in the next episode of their career story. Then clients are ready to face challenges and disruptions using plot and theme to provide overarching goals, rules, order, certainty, and values. This self-clarity enables clients to make their intentions more apparent to themselves and their counselors. With this newfound clarity, clients may envision the next scenes, form intentions, and begin to act.

**Action**

A good story about the self encourages clients to make career transitions while holding onto a self that is even more vital and intentional. A revised identity narrative enables individuals to meet the uncertainties of transition with comfort recalled from the past. Life design interventions forge links to the world that lies ahead by promoting intention and action. Whereas scripting the next scene brings clients’ experience forward, activity starts clients living ahead of themselves. And the necessary action is to turn intentions into behavior infused with meaning (Malrieu, 2003). Action inherently holds meaning from the past while it carries the person into the future. Through action, not verbal expressions of decidedness, clients engage the world (Krieshok, Black, & McKay, 2009). Going further and deeper into the world answers the questions brought to counseling. Action prompts further self-making, identity shaping, and career constructing.

To conclude the consultation, counselors ask clients whether they have accomplished the goals they brought to counseling. Counselors usually end with a few sentences that summarize what has occurred by consolidating the coconstructed story and explaining how it relates to why the client sought counseling. They may use the words **tension, attention, intention, and extension** to explain to clients the outcome of counseling. The client brought some tension to address in consultation with the counselor. Together client and counselor paid attention to that tension in the form of career stories. Then they reconstructed the tension into intention. Following counseling, the client seeks some extension of the self in purposeful action that resolves the tension.

**Career Construction Counseling**

As already stated, the life design paradigm for career intervention is implicitly present in several specific instances. Some methods emphasize more of the back story, many focus on occupational plot, others highlight the career theme, and still others concentrate on the character arc. Also, the different methods inquire about different stories as they elicit narratives about important incidents, recurrent episodes, significant figures, self-defining moments, or life-changing experiences. On the basis of the paradigm of life design described in the present article, I have crafted another specific instance of career intervention to explicitly apply the abstract conceptual model. Career construction counseling (Savickas, 2011a) begins with a career story interview, with four to six questions about how clients construct their selves and careers. Next, counselors deconstruct these stories and reconstruct them into a life portrait. Then the client and counselor use that portrait to coconstruct intentions and actions that begin the next episode in the client’s occupational plot. Demonstrations of career construction counseling enable counselors to evaluate the usefulness of this life design intervention (Savickas, 2005a, 2009).

**Conclusion**

Simply stated, the new general model for career intervention involves construction, deconstruction, reconstruction, and coconstruction that lead to action in the real world. The paradigm of life design does not replace but rather takes its place alongside the paradigms of vocational guidance and career education. Its place is positioned by five sets of distinctions that differentiate among vocational guidance, career education, and life design: actor, agent, and author (McAdams & Olson, 2010); object, subject, and project (Savickas, 2011b); resemblance, readiness, and reflexivity (Savickas, 2011a); scores, stages, and stories (Savickas, 2011a); and traits, tasks, and themes (Savickas, 2001). Vocational guidance, from the **objective** perspective of individual differences, views clients as **actors** who may be characterized by **scores** on **traits** and who may be helped to match themselves to occupations that employ people who they **resemble**. Career education, from the **subjective** perspective of individual development, views clients as **agents** who may be characterized by their degree of **readiness** to engage developmental **tasks** appropriate to their life **stages** and who may be helped to implement new attitudes, beliefs, and competencies that further their careers. Life design, from the **project** perspective of social constructionism, views clients as **authors** who may be characterized by autobiographical **stories** and who may be helped to **reflect** on life **themes** with which to construct their careers. Depending on a client's needs and social context, practitioners may apply career interventions that reflect different paradigms: vocational guidance to identify occupational fit, career education to foster vocational development, or life design to construct a career. Each paradigm for career intervention—whether it is modernity's guidance, late modernity's education, or postmodernity's design—is valuable and effective for its intended purpose.
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